

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

SUBJECT:

REVISIONS TO PREVIOUS REPLIES TO NOTICES OF

NONCONFORMANCE

REFERENCE:

NRC INSPECTION REPORT 99900879/2008201

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, attached is our response to your Letter postmarked 8/12/08; regarding your request for additional information for previously submitted responses relative to the inspection of the Tioga Pipe Supply facilities in Philadelphia, PA and Easton, PA on March 3-7, 2008.

All the items for which additional information has been requested have been reported and processed in accordance with the Tioga Pipe Supply Corrective Action Program. Corrective Action Reports (CAR) were revised to address NRC concerns and to document the probable cause, corrective action, action to prevent recurrence, and date for implementation for each item as follows:

Nonconformance 99900879/2008-201-02 is addressed in our CAR 279, Rev. 1 Nonconformance 99900879/2008-201-04 is addressed in our CAR 281, Rev. 1 Nonconformance 99900879/2008-201-05 is addressed in our CAR 280, Rev. 1

Some of these corrective actions have been taken and the balance is in process. Tioga Pipe Supply recognizes the importance of these activities and is committed to the ongoing improvement of all of our operations. If additional information or documentation is needed, please contact me in our Easton, PA office.

Sincerely,

Steven T. DiMauro

Quality Assurance Manager

Staven T. Di Mauro

Tioga Pipe Supply Co., Inc.

CC: Chief, Quality and Vendor Branch 1

Division of Construction Inspection and Operational Programs

Office of New Reactors

Ву	Dept.	Date
Comments:		
Verification of Corrective Action		
To Be Completed	Signature	Meren 1. Nullauro
Date Corrective Action	· (teren 1. Dimauro
(See attached supplemental sheet)		
Probable Cause and Corrective Action:		
		Stod (top) Dato <u>store</u>
Corrective Action Responsibility Steve DiMauro	Reque	sted Reply Date 9/8/08
Reported by: NRC Inspection Issue	Dept. NA	Date <u>3/6/08</u>
(See attached Supplemental Sheet)		
environment could be detrimental to a	ustenitic stainless steel pip	ng material.
On March 5, 2008, the NRC inspectors conducting hydrostatic test activities at	Tioga's Easton, PA facility	y. Exposing test piping to this
incorrectly.		
accordance with Tioga Testing Instruct perform step 6.6.2, performed steps 7		
finding: 1. On March 6, 2008, the NRC inspectors		
instrumentation is available and used, and that the conditions. Test results shall be documented and satisfied.		
Criterion XI, "Test Control," of Appendix B to 10 (include provisions for assuring that all prerequisit		
Requirement:		
Location: <u>Easton</u> , PA		Date: 8/28/08
Vendor Name: <u>Tioga Pipe Supply Co Inc</u>		CAR <u># 279, Rev. 1</u>
	E SUPPLY CO INC. /E ACTION REPORT	
9/85		X Internal
Form QSP #26.1		

Finding (cont'd):

- 3. On March 5, 2008, the NRC inspectors found the acceptance criteria of TI-1, "Hydrostatic Testing," Revision 1 required holding hydrostatic pressure between a minimum and maximum pressure for a specified minimum "hold time." However, TI-1 does not require testing personnel to document maximum allowable test pressure or use an appropriate time measuring device to record the start and stop times of the test.
- 4. On March 5, 2008, the NRC inspectors found that QSP-36, "Ultrasonic Thickness Gauging," Revision 2, does not require a post-test calibration check of the ultrasonic thickness instrument to verify that the instrument does not drift outside its calibration range during testing. This calibration check is specified in the test equipment manufacturer's operating instructions.

These issues have been identified as Nonconformance 99900061/2007-201-02.

Probable Cause and Corrective Action (cont'd):

- 1. The methods used, although deviating slightly from that specified in TI-3 would be considered to be within the judgement of the skill of the craft and were conducted by a qualified test engineer. TI-3 will be revised by **07/31/08** to allow test personnel more flexibility when applicable.
- 2. Tioga Pipe Supply personnel did not consider the use of recycled water to be deleterious. However, when the NRC addressed the concern, the use of unanalyzed water was immediately halted. Subsequently, a decision was made to resume testing of all but stainless steel pipe with the existing method but to use only potable water when testing stainless steel pipe (see attached e-mail).

To ascertain the significance of the unknown water quality, samples of the hydro water from the reservoirs for both hydro machines were sent to our approved vendor for analysis. The analysis indicated halogen levels < 7 ppm and sulphur levels < 33 ppm, both well below limits spcified in customer purchase orders. All other elements analyzed were < 1 ppm. However, to be prudent, Tioga Pipe Supply's method of hydro testing stainless steel pipe will continue to be with the use of potable water only.

Tioga Pipe obtained the latest Water Quality Report for the Easton Suburban Water Authority which identified that all monitored parameters were below the limits established by the Environmental Protection Agency and the PA Department of Environmental Protection. To ensure continued acceptable water quality, Tioga will obtain the latest Water Quality Report annually.

Test Instruction TI-1, Hydrostatic Pressure Testing will be revised by 07/31/08 to reflect this method of testing.

- 3. ASTM A450, Standard Specification for General Requirements for Carbon, Ferritic Allow, and Austenitic Alloy Steel Tubes and ASTM A530, Standard Specification for General Requirements for Specialized Carbon and Alloy Steel Pipe both require that the test pressure be held for a minimum of only 5 seconds. For conservatism, Tioga Pipe elected to specify a 15 second hold time and determined that a duration of 15 seconds, well in excess of the required hold time could be easily determined by an estimate of the operator without the need for a timepiece.
 - TI-1 will be revised by 07/31/08 to require documentation of maximum allowable test pressure as well as the use of an uncalibrated timepiece still using the conservative hold time of 15 seconds. Tioga has purchased an uncalibrated timepiece with a digital display for use when conducting hydro tests. TI-1 will also be revised to require recording the test pressure hold time duration.
- 4. Failure to include the conduct of a post-test calibration check in QSP-36 was an oversight during procedure development. QSP-36 has been revised to require a post-test calibration check of the ultrasonic thickness instrument ("D" meter) in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.

Form	QSP	#26.
9/85		

TIOGA PIPE SUPPLY CO INC. CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

	Vendor
X	Internal

Vendor Name: Tioga Pipe Supply Co Inc		CAR # <u>281, Rev. 1</u>	
Location: <u>Easton</u> , PA		Date: <u>8/28/08</u>	
Requirement: Criterion XV, Nonconforming Materials, Parts, or C that measures shall be established to control mate requirements in order to prevent their inadvertent u Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50, states that measure adverse to quality, such as non-conformances, are (see Supplemental Sheet)	rials, parts, or compone use or installation. Crite es shall be established	ents which do not conform to erion XVI, Corrective Actions, of to assure that conditions	
Finding: Contrary to the stated requirements: 1. Tioga did not adhere to the requirements of Ste Revision 7; on March 5, 2008, in that, when noti Tioga's QA department failed to initiate a notice corrective action report.	fied of a nonconformin	g condition by a customer,	
Reported by: NRC Inspection Issue	Dept. NA	Date <u>3/6/08</u>	
Corrective Action Responsibility Steve DiMauro	Requ	nested Reply Date 9/8/08	
Probable Cause and Corrective Action: 1., 3. The QA Manager determined that the non-cits inadvertent use under the utility's correctidentified concerns and will take the action (see Supplemental Sheet) Date Corrective Action To Be Completed	tive action program. Ti specified below.		
Verification of Corrective Action Comments:			
Ву	Dept.	Date	

Requirement (cont'd):

Tioga QSM, Section 15, "Control of Non-conformances," Revision 15, dated October 10, 2003, states that "non-conformances are processed in accordance with an established written procedure covering the identification, documentation, segregation, and disposition."

Tioga QSM Section 16, "Corrective Action," Revision 16, dated October 10, 2003, requires that a request for corrective action be generated when conditions adverse to quality exist that reflect a possible programmatic failure, such as repetitive non-conformances, deviation from Tioga Pipe's Quality program, or a significant nonconforming condition.

Tioga QSP-17, "Non-Conformance Procedure," Revision 7, dated December 12, 2006, Step 4.5 requires that "if material has shipped to a customer and later found to be or suspected to be non-conforming, the QA Department shall notify the customer by issuing and sending a Nonconformance Report for their review and disposition." QSP-17 Step 4.6 requires that "during the evaluation for required corrective action, the QA manager will evaluate and document on the Nonconformance Report whether a determination for reportability under 10CFR21 must be performed."

Tioga QSP-26, "Corrective Action Procedure," Revision 8, dated October 10, 2008, references a corrective action report form used to track corrective actions for identified non-conformances.

Finding (cont'd):

- 2. Even though Tioga had identified numerous, repetitive non-conformances over a three-year period by two different sub-suppliers, a corrective action report form was not initiated as required by Tioga QSP-26, "Corrective Action Procedure," Revision 8.
- 3. On March 6, 2008, the NRC inspectors found that upon receiving a rejected "butt-welded elbow fitting" from a customer, Tioga personnel failed to follow steps 4.5 and 4.6 of QSP-17, "Nonconformance Procedure," Revision 7. Steps 4.5 and 4.6 required an issuance of a nonconformance report and initiation of a corrective action report.

These issues have been identified as Nonconformance 99900061/2007-201-04.

Probable Cause and Corrective Action (cont'd):

The QA Manager determined that the issues in question were unrelated isolated incidents which occurred over a three year period and did not meet the threshold for generating corrective action reports.

Corrective Action - Items 1, 2, 3

Although the Corrective Action System at Tioga Pipe Supply Co. is in compliance with applicable regulations, Tioga will enhance the program to encourage the identification of issues by all employees at a low threshold in the spirit of continuous improvement.

The current program had no entry except through the QA Manager. QSP-26, Corrective Action Procedure will be revised or supplemented with a separate procedure (e.g. a condition reporting

Page 3 of 3

system) by 10/30/08 to encourage the identification of issues or concerns at any employee level.

The following enhancements will be included in the proposed revision to QSP-26.

- The program will change to a Condition Reporting System for the identification of any issue by any Tioga Pipe Supply Co., Inc. employee.
- A Management Review Group (MRG)will be used to determine significance levels, and Part 21 applicability
- The MRG will determine if nonconformance reports should be generated as a result of the identified condition. The Tioga Nonconformance procedure will continue to drive issuance of a CR (with appropriate significance level) when the applicable determination is made.

		Vendor		
		X Internal		
ioga Pipe Supply Co Inc.		Car# <u>280, Rev. 1</u>		
PA		Date: 8/29/08		
able and states, "requirements ocation." Basic Requirement 1 oration, or loss. QA-1-1989 supplement 17S-1 ained in a manner which minim	shall be established of of NQA-1-1989 requestates that records "sizes the risk of damages."	concerning record retention, uires records to be protected chall be stored in facilities		
Finding: Contrary to the above, Tioga's QSM Section 17.0, "Quality Assurance Records," and QSP-16, Records Maintenance Procedure, Revision 10 dated October 10, 2003, did not specify requirements for the storage and preservation of QA records. Additionally, some single copy QA records were stored in one-hour fire-rated cabinets while others were only stored in standard, non-fire rated metal file cabinets at Tioga's acilities in Easton, PA and Philadelphia, PA. This issue has been identified as Nonconformance 99900061/2007-201-05.				
spection Issue	Dept. NA	Date <u>3/6/08</u>		
onsibility <u>Steve DiMauro</u>	Reque	ested Reply Date <u>9/8/08</u>		
Corrective Action: nc is not committed to NQA-1	supplement 17S-1			
	CORRECTIVE ACTION OF A PROPERTY OF A PROPERT	Assurance Records," of Appendix B to 10 CFR Partable and states, "requirements shall be established obcation." Basic Requirement 17 of NQA-1-1989 requirement 17 of NQA-1-1989 requirement 17S-1 states that records "sained in a manner which minimizes the risk of damasters such as winds, floods, or fires." Tioga's QSM Section 17.0, "Quality Assurance Recorder, Revision 10 dated October 10, 2003, did not special records. Additionally, some single copy QA record mers were only stored in standard, non-fire rated meand Philadelphia, PA. Tentified as Nonconformance 99900061/2007-201-05 spection Issue Dept. NA Dept. NA Requestions in the property of the partable pa		

Dept.

Date

Ву